Quantum Domain Wall

Victor Atanasov[1] and Rossen Dandoloff[2]

[1] *Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, 5 blvd J. Bourchier, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria**
[2] *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation , Université de Cergy-Pontoise, F-95302 Cergy-Pontoise, France*

We demonstrate an equivalence between the elastic membrane shape equation and the quantum mechanical two dimensional Schrödinger equation for a (quasi-) particle on the surface of the membrane. Surface curvature is related to an unexpected static formation: the concentration of the expectation value to find a (quasi-) particle where the elastic energy is concentrated, namely where surface curvature has a maximum which represents a particular form of a conformon.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 02.30.Hq, 02.40.Hw

arXiv:1402.2078v1 [quant-ph] 10 Feb 2014

The interplay between geometry and quantum mechanics has intrigued physicists since the early stages of the development of quantum mechanics . An important role for that has played the regained importance of geometry in physics since the appearance of the special and the general theory of relativity[1]. The works by Jensen and Kope and da Costa have given a boost of the quantum theory in curved spaces[2]. Several works have been done in the areas of localization due to curvature of the space and the proper definition of linear momentum on curved surfaces[3].

It has turned out that geometry can play the intermediary between two rather different domains in physical research, namely the classical theory of elasticity (thin rods whose statics and dynamics are governed by the Kirchhoff's equations) and quantum mechanics. It appears that thin rods and one dimensional quantum theory are governed by the same differential equations leading to the appearance of conformons: the localization of elastic and electronic energy which may propagate in space without dissipation[4].

In this Letter we report yet another fascinating example of the role of geometry in bringing together quantum theory and 2d theory of elasticity of membranes, leading to the appearance of 2d quantum domain walls. The domain wall is the boundary between two neighbouring domains to which we can assign elastic energies. Therefore the shape of the boundary is related to the minimization of the elastic energy of the boundary. The quantum aspect is associated with the presence of a (quasi-) particle between the domains exactly where the elastic energy is localized.

Let us first note that the elastic energy density of a membrane is proportional to H^2 where H is the mean curvature of the membrane. The equilibrium shape equation for the membrane looks like a Schrodinger equation with the same potential (as the shape equation) proportional to $H^2 - K$ where K is the Gaussian curvature of the membrane(K is a given function and often it is 0 or a constant). On the other hand the Schrodinger equation on the membrane has exactly the same structure, with the same potential as the shape equation, which means that the solutions of both equations will be proportional to each other i.e. $\psi \sim H$. This will have as a consequence that the elastic energy density H^2 will have the same spacial distribution as the electronic density $|\psi|^2$.

We will present an exactly solvable case where $K = 0$ and the equations are one dimensional nonlinear Schrodinger type of equations wich have exact solutions.

Setting any of the coordinates of a quantum system to zero is an act prohibited by the Uncertainty Principle due to Werner Heisenberg, a cornerstone principle of a viable quantum mechanical theory. Therefore the correct quantum description of a (quasi-) particle on a two dimensional surface (which can be severely curved) has to account for the embedding. Setting the off-surface coordinate to zero is prohibited, therefore the (quasi-) particle's wavefunction would be able to probe the surface for bending through the embedding space. Consequently, a geometrically induced term appears in the surface Schrödinger equation. The complete quantum procedure producing the two dimensional quantum equation is realized by constraints (external potentials [2]) forcing the system to occupy less degrees of freedom available for the (quasi-) particle, namely in two-dimensional electron (or hole) systems (2DES) such as those is graphene and graphene oxide.

Thus the (quasi-) particle's wave function is separable into surface and normal (off-surface) components. However, absent a truly two dimensional system that can be easily bent, the effect of geometric potential on the electronic band structure has been justifiably ignored in device engineering up to now. Graphene and its oxide represent a class of materials which can display the effects produced by the geometric potential due to bending of the surface.

Specifically, the two dimensional form of sp^3/sp^2 hybridized carbon, known as graphene oxide, is a flexible 1 nm thick soft membrane embedded in three dimensional space. This is an example of novel material which carriers are of Schrödinger type.

The quantum dynamics of a *nonrelativistic* (quasi-) particle constrained to an arbitrary orientable surface is well explored: the curvature of the surface induces an

attractive (has a minimum where maximally curved) geometric potential due to da Costa [2]

$$V_G = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}\left(H^2 - K\right), \tag{1}$$

where m^* is the effective mass of the particle, $\hbar$ is the Planck's constant; $H = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)/2$ and $K = \kappa_1\kappa_2$ are the Mean and the Gaussian curvature of the surface, respectively. Here κ_1, κ_2 are the two position-dependent principal curvatures of the surface[5].

This potential is purely a result of particle confinement, and is independent of the electric charge of the particle; it is therefore the same for electrons and holes. It appears in the Schrödinger equation in curvilinear surface coordinates

This result is applicable in the limit $q_3^0 H \to 0$, where q_3^0 is the thickness of the two-dimensional surface and H is the Mean curvature. Note q_3^0 corresponds to the width of the normal to the surface quantum well in 2DES where particles are confined.

Now we reproduce the constrained quantum problem for the carriers in two dimensions[2]: separating the dependence of the wavefunction on surface and normal variables $\chi = \chi_{\rm t}(q_1, q_2, t)\chi_{\rm n}(q_3, t)$ we have a set of two equations determining the quantum evolution

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}\left[\Delta_S + \left(H^2 - K\right)\right]\chi_{\rm t} = i\hbar\frac{\partial\chi_{\rm t}}{\partial t} \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta_S = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}\left(\sqrt{g}(g^{-1})_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_3^2} + V_\lambda(q_3)\right]\chi_{\rm n} = i\hbar\frac{\partial\chi_{\rm n}}{\partial t}. \tag{4}$$

Please, keep these equations in mind in order to see the emerging equivalence between quantum and elastic properties.

Now we turn to the elastic energy of the membrane. The shape of membranes is due to the curvature of the membrane considered as a regular two-dimensional surface embedded in the Euclidean three-dimensional space. The elastic free energy of a piece of membrane is expressed in terms of the curvature invariant: the Gaussian curvature. The shape equation for the equilibrium conformation of membranes arises from a minimization argument.

The functional for the shape energy due to Ou-Yang and Helfrich is[7]

$$F = \frac{1}{2}k_c\oint(2H - c_0)^2\,dS + \lambda\oint dS + \Delta p\int dV, \tag{5}$$

where c_0 is the spontaneous curvature of the membrane's surface, k_c is the bending rigidity of the membrane, λ is the membrane's tensile strength or surface tension, Δp is the pressure difference between the upper and lower sides of the membrane.

Standard variational calculus $\delta F = 0$ yields the shape equation [6–8]:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda H - \Delta p \;=\; & 2k_c\Delta_S H + \\ & k_c\left(2H^2 - 2K - c_0 H\right)(2H + c_0) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here Δ_S is the Laplace-Beltrami operator (3). The shape equation is for the Mean curvature H.

Suppose the membrane is open and immersed in homogeneous medium, then the pressure difference vanishes $\Delta p = 0$. In case of vanishing spontaneous curvature $c_0 = 0$, which is only natural for symmetric membranes [7], the shape equation reduces to

$$\left[\Delta_S + 2\left(H^2 - K\right)\right]H(q_1, q_2) = \epsilon^2 H, \tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon^2 = \lambda/k_c$

Next, inserting $\chi_{\rm t} = \psi(q_1, q_2)e^{iE/\hbar t}$ in to (2) leads to the stationary Schrödinger equation on the surface

$$\left[\Delta_S + \left(H^2 - K\right)\right]\psi(q_1, q_2) = \varepsilon^2\psi \tag{8}$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = 2m^*E/\hbar^2$

The similarity between (7) and (8) is obvious for the stationary states of the Schrödinger equation. However, a factor of 2 stands in front of the geometric potential in the elastic shape equation.

This equivalence between these two equations is an example of the " *remarkable coincidence: The equations for many different physical situations have exactly the same appearance...this means that having studied one subject, we immediately have a great deal of direct and precise knowledge about the solutions of the equations of another.* as Richard Feynman states in his famous course (V2 ch.12 p. 12-1).

Here we see the profound meaning for the physics of membranes of the differential operator

$$\text{𝕬} = \Delta_S + \alpha\left(H^2 - K\right). \tag{9}$$

Here α is a parameter. Whenever we have a combined stationary elastic and quantum eigen-problem on a two dimensional open surface the following hold

$$\text{𝕬}_{|_{\alpha=2}}H = \epsilon^2 H \quad \& \quad \text{𝕬}_{|_{\alpha=1}}\psi = \varepsilon^2\psi \tag{10}$$

In the above equations we assumed that K is given.

Here the correspondence goes in the following direction

$$\psi \sim H(q_1, q_2), \tag{11}$$

therefore we can assume that having a solution to the shape equation, we also have a solution to the Schrödinger equation on the surface. However, it is easier said than done. The shape equation is a fourth order (in

TABLE I: The generators and their characteristics of the group of motions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which is the symmetry group of the membrane shape equation. The surface profile is given in Mongé representation $\vec{R}(x,y) = (x, y, z(x,y))$.

Generators	Characteristics
translations	
$v_1 = \partial_x$	$Q_1 = -z_1$ [a]
$v_2 = \partial_y$	$Q_2 = -z_2$ [b]
$v_3 = \partial_z$	$Q_3 = 1$
rotations	
$v_4 = x\partial_y - y\partial_x$	$Q_4 = yz_1 - xz_2$
$v_5 = x\partial_z - z\partial_x$	$Q_5 = x - zz_1$
$v_6 = y\partial_z - z\partial_y$	$Q_6 = y - zz_2$

[a] $z_1 = \partial z/\partial x$
[b] $z_2 = \partial z/\partial y$

terms of the position vector $\vec{R}(x_1, x_2)$ spanning the surface) nonlinear partial differential equation. The path to its solutions is far more complicated that to the solutions of the Schrödinger equation on the surface. We can reduce the complexity of the problem using the symmetries of the shape equation [9]. The symmetry group of the membrane shape equation (6) is restricted to the group of motions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose basic generators v_j $(j = 1...6)$ and their characteristics Q_j are listed in the Table I taken from[9].

Since we know the symmetry group of the shape equation, it is possible to look for the so-called group-invariant solutions of the equation, that is, the solutions, which are invariant under the transformations of the symmetry group[10]. Each group-invariant solution is determined by a reduced equation obtained by a symmetry reduction of the original one. Essentially, different group-invariant solutions correspond to the groups generated by the vector fields v_1 and $av_3 + v_4$ (the optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras of the symmetry algebra of the shape equation), that is translationally-invariant and rotationally-invariant solutions.

Nevertheless, only a few analytic solutions to the shape equation are presently known. These are: spheres and circular cylinders, Clifford tori, Delaunay surfaces, circular biconcave discoids, nodoid-like and unduloid-like shapes, some types of Willmore and constant squared mean curvature surfaces as well as cylindrical surfaces. Besides for the spheres ($K = const$) and circular cylinders($K = 0$), explicit parametrizations are available for the surfaces of Delaunay and the generalized cylindrical surfaces.

We will present now a quasi one dimensional exactly solvable case, which represents a translationally invariant solution. The translationally-invariant solutions are obtained by propagating along the y-axis its profile curve Γ laying in the XOZ -plane. If $z(x)$ denotes the profile curve, than s denotes the arclength along the curve. The



FIG. 1: The profile curve Γ.

following holds for the arclength:

$$ds = \sqrt{1 + z'^2}dx \quad z' = dz/dx. \tag{12}$$

The translationally-invariant surfaces have vanishing Gaussian curvature $K = 0$ due to $\kappa_2 = 0$ and $\kappa_1(x) = \kappa$. Therefore $H = \kappa/2$.

One can represent the profile curve Γ also by the graph $(x, z(x))$ of the function $z = z(x)$ (see Fig. 1). Employing standard calculation technique of [5], the shape equation (7) reduces to the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z'^2}}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z'^2}}\frac{d}{dx}\right)H + \alpha H^3 = \epsilon^2 H \tag{13}$$

which in terms of s, that is the arclength along the curve a defined in (12), we rewrite the above equation to further simplify it

$$\frac{d^2H}{ds^2} + \alpha H^3 = \epsilon^2 H \tag{14}$$

The solution to the Shape-Schrödinger equation (14) for a translationally-invariant surface is soliton-like

$$\psi \propto H(s) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\mathrm{sech}(\epsilon s) \tag{15}$$

Finding solutions to (14) having the property of non-constant mean curvature $H(s)$ is an intriguing problem leading to major consequences such as localization of elastic and electronic energy as is the case with (15).

In conclusion, we state the main observation in the Letter: the shape equation for an elastic open membrane is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation on the surface. The main consequence is the concentration of the probability density for a (quasi-) particle on the surface where its curvature has a maximum (the elastic energy has a

local maximum too). This mechanism represents a spacial case of a conformon. It can be experimentally verified in a setup where a charged drop (water with added phospholipid to make an elastic membrane on its surface) attached to a capillary tube is examined for the distribution of charge on its surface (through a whisker attached to an electroscope) and photographed to reveal the surface profile. An analysis of the surface profile can reveal where the elastic energy of the surface is localized. Next a comparison with the data on the charge distribution can empirically establish the proposed model which represents an interest in bio and membrane physics as well as microelectronics involving graphene and graphene oxide .

* Electronic address: vatanaso@phys.uni-sofia.bg; web page `http://phys.uni-sofia.bg/~vatanaso/`

[1] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco (1973).

[2] H. Jensen and H. Koppe, Ann. Phys. **63**, 586 (1971); R. C. T. da Costa, Phys. Rev. A **23**, 1982 (1981).

[3] B. Leaf, Am. J. Phys., **47**, 811 (1979); J. Goldstone and R.L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev B **45**, 14100, (1992); V. Atanasov and R. Dandoloff, Phys. Lett. A, **373**, 716 (2009).

[4] R. Dandoloff and R. Balakrishnan, J. Phys. A **38**, 6121 (2005); Nonlinearity **21**, 1 (2008).

[5] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive introduction to differential geometry* (Publish or Perish, Boston, 1999).

[6] D. Nelson, D.R. Piran and S. Weinberg, *Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Surfaces*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).

[7] Ou-Yang Zhong-can and W. Helfrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2486 (1987).

[8] W.A. Helfrich, Naturforsch. **28c**, 693 (1973); **29c**, 510 (1974).

[9] V. M. Vassilev, P. A. Djondjorov and I. M. Mladenov, *Symmetry groups, conservation laws and group-invariant solutions of the membrane shape equation* In: Geometry, Integrability and Quantization, I. Mladenov and Manuel de Leon (Eds), SOFTEX, Sofia 2005, pp 1-14.

[10] G. de Matteis, *Group Analysis of the Membrane Shape Equation*, In: Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment II, M. Ablowitz, M. Boiti, F. Pempinelli and B. Prinari (Eds.), WorldScienific,Singapore, p.221-226.(arxiv: cond-mat/0201044.) (2002).